G. R. S. 59j

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907-1908
(Muzrai)

File No. 309

Serial Nos. 1-19.

Papers Filed.

Month May 1911.

SUBJECT.

File relating to the petition of one Venkatamma of Sri Rangapatam, Complaining that certain proper left by one Krishna Iyengar, brother of her husba have been unauthorizedly taken up for the benefit The Sri Ranganatha Swami Temple at Sri Rangapa and requesting that the same may be kindly or to be given over to the petitioner

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES
	Muz. 7. 221 of 1913.
	350 - 08

G.R.S 11]

~~1906.~~
1907-08

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inungai)

NOTES.

File No. 309-07

FILE HEADING.

Petition from Venkatamma of Srirangapatam complaining that certain properties left by the late Krishnappa have been unauthorizedly taken up for the benefit of Sri Rangaswamy Temple.

From

Venkatamma of Srirangapatam.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 20 4 07 Received

Diary No. 4781	Enclos. - 0	Spare Copies -	Serial No./

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that as she is the heiress to certain amounts left behind by the late Krishnappa, they may be paid to her.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

8C 2. To, the Dy Commr, Mysore Distt
O M no 369 Dt 24 7 07
Forwards 8C 1 for report.
To Venkatamma,
Instr no. 370 Dt 24 7 07

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000 -3-4-07

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MMS File No. 309 of 1907

Serial No.	
	Intimates the above
3	From, the Dy Commr, Mysore Dist Letter no 483 Dy/27/4/10 Reports with records on the Subject
for under disposal	(1) The Dy Commrs report goes fully into the facts of the case
	(2) In the matter of the manganally 1. Rs 500/ with interest in the Town bank at Srirangapatam 2 Rs 87/ in Savings Bank Account no 674 3 Amount due from Pleader Narasimmegar (not mentioned in the bill) noted - amounts settled by the late Krishnamegar for Santarpane in the Sri Ranganathaswamy at Srirangapatam, the Dy. Commrs. opinion is that as there are heirs of the testator well qualified to administer the trust, Govt may defer their rights for the present and seize an agreement to the pet Venkadamma widow of Rangachar (one of the deceased brothers of Krishnamegar) not to assent as long as the properties are well utilized. That officer also states that if this view is not acceptable to Govt, a bill of costs for taking up a heirship certificate may be sanctioned by Govt in consultation with the Govt Advocate
Flag B)	(3) Venkadamma prays that these amounts may be ordered to be given over

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—55,000—27 4.09

Serial No.

to her for the following among other reasons.

1. that the will was not presented to Govt by Krishnaiengar and it was not brought into effect during his life-time, and that the facts that he took back some amounts after the execution of the will clearly shows that he never intended that the terms of the will should be carried out,

2. that her husband Rangachar performed the obsequies of krishnaiengar and to prevent the family from being extinct, adopted one Kesavachar alias Ramaswamiengar and performed the boy's "upanayana" as evidenced by his will dated 27th August 1906

Hag II (vide copy)

3 that she had obtained a Decree for the recovery of these amounts from Lakshamma, but the latter died before its execution, and

4 that she contracted debts for the obsequies of the deceased & personal which will have to be liquidated from these amounts, and

5. that Govt cannot summarily take possession of these properties as long as there are competent heirs in the family

Submitted for orders, the point raised being a quest

AU 6.5.10 Mar 9 May 11

Serial No.

consulted in the matter unofficially?

BL
5/6/11.

4) The facts of the case are briefly these
One G. B. Krishna Iyengar had two brothers —
Ramaswamy Iyengar and Ranga Char.
Ramaswamy Iyengar predeceased Krishna
Iyengar. On 22.11.1902 Krishna Iyengar
executed a will to the effect that the
interest on the Rs 1000 thereby given as shares
in the Town Bank and the Vyasaravanthami
Bank should after his death be enjoyed by
his deceased brother Ramaswamy Iyengar's
wife Lakshamma and after her death by his
brother Ranga Char and after his death
it should be used for Sambarpan & for
Sri Vasthavara in the Sri Ranganatha
swami Temple at Mayavaram Andoha Pour-
nami. Further it was stated in the will
that in the event of the Bank being wound
up the money must be paid to Srikara for
carrying out the Sambarpan & the will
was registered on the same date.

5) It is not known when Krishna Iyengar died.
In Venkatamma's petition of 2.2.1907 forwarded
to the Amildar it is said that Krishna Iyengar
died about 2 years before then. In 1910 Or 1904.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

Serial No.

1903 Krishna Iyengar lent Rs. 225-4 Pies to G.V. Narasimha Iyengar. This money and also another sum of Rs. 100 appear to have been borrowed by Krishna Iyengar from the Vyapara Vardhini bank on the pledge of his share. ~~After~~

6) After Krishna Iyengar's death his sister-in-law Lakshamma enjoyed the interest. The Vyaparavardhini bank was wound up and the shareholders seem to have been paid 50% of their share amounts of Rs 175 due to G.B. Krishna Iyengar (Rs. 325 having been set off against the debt due by him to the bank) Rs. 87 were deposited in the Govt Savings Bank on 28.9.1906 in favour of Lakshamma.

7) She died about Dec 1906 her brother in-law Ranga Char having predeceased her.

After her death one Lakshminarasimha Iyengar, ~~jinasi~~ gumasta of the Ranga-nathaswami Temple and a relation of the deceased Lakshamma produced the will and other documents saying that Lakshamma had directed them to procure the same with the request that the terms of the will should be carried out and that, a separate provision had been made by them for feeding one Madhva Sundara Ramanuja, the interest

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27 4.09

Serial No.

on Krishna Iyengar's investments should be used for feeding on Bharani Saranna day. While the local officers were enquiring into the matter Venkatamma, wife of Ranga Charlu referred to above, complained to His Office and a report was called for.

8) Venkatamma's contentions have been referred to above. She admits the facts recited above. I do not think she will deny that the money is Krishna Iyengar's self-acquisition. Her chief arguments seem to be (1) that the will is a direction to the heirs to conduct the charity and confers no right on Govt to interfere and (2) that Krishna Iyengar having withdrawn (as she thinks) his share from the Kyafara Vardhini Bank he could not have no intention of carrying out his will.

9) The points for disposal are. —

(1) Can Govt interfere and get the money for the purpose of feeding on Bharani Saranna or on any other day.

(2) If so, what steps are the Govt

(3) Can the money due by others be recovered for the purposes of the charity.

10) Vakeel for the Govt contends that the money is not

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27 4.09

Serial No.

referred to in the will and cannot be demanded. He also says he has repaid the major portion and that the balance can only be claimed by the adopted son of Ranga Rao.

(11) The Zamindar Bank Ltd that the Amildar cannot ask for the shares - cannot but may receive the interest and use it for Santharpane as provided in the will

W. O. No 214 Dy 7. 5. 1910

Forwarded to Govt Advocate Cuddapah for opinion

B. O.

Amildar of Sreerangapatam

7/5/1910

(12) My answers to the above queries are:-

I. O. 66. dated 9-5-1910.

(1) The Amildar of Sreerangapatam has, under the terms of the will, a right to demand and recover from the Banks the dividends due on the shares held by the testator and utilise the same for the annual santharpane. In the event of the winding up of ~~of~~ the Banks the Amildar may demand and receive whatever may become payable on account of the shares out of the assets realised and invest the same in the Government Savings Bank.

VII MRy 11. 5. 10

(2) If the Banks fail to pay the dividends or the pro-rata assets, the Amildar will have to obtain a succession certificate in respect of the said sum and

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

and institute proceedings in Civil Courts for the recovery of the same

(3) The amount lent by the deceased to Pleader --- Narasimha Char has not specifically been bequeathed for the purposes of santharpane and such a direction cannot be fastened on the debt by the mere fact that the testator borrowed from the Bank a certain amount on the security of the shares set apart for the said purpose (Santharpane) and lent the same to Narasimha Char.

9 5 1910 A. Narayana Rao Govt Advocate

(13) Submitted to the Govt Counsel for advs Advocate-Gen

12/1/1910

(14) Action may be taken according to the advice of the Govt Advs

15/5/10

(15) Instrument sanctioned 18/1/1910 Issued 19/1/1910

Sanctd with Regt Instrt 21 5 10 23/5/10 Issued 24/1/1910

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

4. To the Dy Commr Mysore - No 3024 of 23-6-10 passing orders in the case. To the Rtr Venkatamma No 3025 of 23-6-10. Informs that the amt of Rs500 in the Corn Bank & 87 Rs in Savings bank are utilized for sanctioning of Krishna Das as per Govt orders.

5 (16) From the Dy Commr Mysore No D of 2-7-10 asks for the return of Govt papers in the matter.

Draft Rtd the papers put up for approval.

Issued 12/7/1910

Rd 11-7-10

The records stated have been returned to the Profr Being a case transferred to the I might have not noted at first. The dy- petitioning clerk must have sought instructions

12/7/1910

6 To, The Dy Commr, Mysore Dept

Docket no 217 Dy 18 7 10.

Returns the Govt records.

7 From, Rajeugar others Petition Dy. 14-7-10 20 7 10

State that Ramaswamiengar adopted son of Rangachar has taken the matters to Courts

GPB—50,000—15-5-08

Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 507 of 1907

Serial No.

18 The petrs represent that one Ramaswamiengar having filed a suit in the Munsiffs' court at Srirangapatna for the recovery of the properties belonging to the late Krishniengar, Varahaswamy Iyengar put in a counter petition on behalf of the Ranganatha Swamy Temple and that the case has been adjourned for hearing on the 20th instt (proximo) and pray that the Amildar of Srirangapatam Taluk may be directed to safe-guard the interests of the matter.

19 Secretary's order:—

Call for report from the Dy Commr

[illegible]

29/7/1910

Submitted with 'Dfs' Issued 29/7/1910

1.8.10

20 To, The Dy Commr, Mysore Dist

O.M. No 610 Dy 1 9 1910

Forwards in continuation of G.O., petition from Rajiengar and others praying that the Amildar of Srirangapatam may be directed to defend the suit filed by

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

R. S, 12]
ral and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 309 of 1907

Serial No.

Ramaswamiengar for the recovery of the properties left by the late Krishniengar

To, Rajiengar and others.
Endt no 611 Dy 1910

Intimates the above

From, the Dy commr, Mysore Dist
Letter no 145 Dy 23.9.1910.

Requests permission to file a suit for a succession certificate to recover the above properties.

The Dy commr reports that the Bank having refused to pay the amount till the production of a succession certificate, the Amildar of the Srirangapatam Tqr. was directed to obtain such a certificate in respect of the sums and institute proceedings in civil court for the recovery of the same

That Amildar officer requests that the Amildar of the S. Patam Taluk may be authorized to draw in advance Rs 50-8-0 (probably from the funds of Sri Ranganathaswamy temple) to meet the cost of the litigation.

Submitted for orders

Put up draft-Sanction
S
14.10.1910

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

Submitted with a draft. Revn
AV
29.10.10 RL

S7
1.11.1910

20 Dft revised and submitted to Secretary
AV
2.11.10 RL

Abdur Rahman
2/11/1910

22 (25) Is it worth our while to bother ourselves with recovering such money & by Coercive process for the purpose of a Sanskrit pane?

4/11/10

10.26 Memo No. 1369 88 11.10 from the Dy Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja forwarding Memorial recd from Ramaswamy Iyengar Vembakkam in the matter & calling for papers in the case.

27 I respectfully submit that when the money has been raised over & above for some purpose in the Temple it is the duty of the Temple to recover the amount for the purpose - Memo from the Private Secretary to Hon Stephenson the Madras Regn calling for the papers in the case is also submitted. The Memorial discloses no new facts.

Abdur Rahman
9/11/1910

10 Where parties give money or other property in a tangible

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

form either by will or during life, Govt in the Mun. Department may undertake to get the Charity or other service performed. In this case it was represented in the record that the money would become ~~made~~ available without any difficulty. But now it turns out that the Bank where it is deposited declines to pay it over; and a suit claim and has sprung up. It may be that the will in favour of the Temple Charity is effective. But I do not see the necessity of the Mun. Department taking up the dispute in going to Court against it

GRB - 55,000—27 4-09

Serial No.

to give effect to the testator's wishes. We shall have to advance money from the Temple Funds, and as there is always an element of uncertainty about the result, we should be prepared to forego that amount & pay costs in addition.

I am inclined therefore to say that we may leave the matter to be decided by Courts without our intervention. But if the Dewan is of a different opinion, ~~we may refer~~ I have no objn to fight it out & the end as suggested by the Dy Cr.

Dewan

11/11/16

GPB—55,900—27 4.09

Submitted to His Highness.

As called for in the Private Secretary's Memo No.1369 dated the 8th November 1910, I submit herewith the file of papers relating to the memorial of Ramaswamiengar praying for a reconsideration of the Government orders directing that certain sums of money invested by his deceased uncle, Krishniengar, in the Town and Vyaparavardhini Banks at Seringapatam should be utilized for conducting an annual Santarpane for Sri Vaishnavas in the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam.

2. The facts of the case are as follows. One G.R. Krishniengar had two brothers Ramaswamiengar and Rangachar. On 22nd November 1902 Krishniengar executed a will to the effect that the interest on the Rs.1,000 invested by him in the Town Bank and Vyaparavardhini Bank should, after his death, be enjoyed by his deceased brother Ramaswamiengar's wife Lakshmamma, and after her death by his brother Rangachar and that after the death of the latter it should be used for Santarpane for Sri Vaishnavas in the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam and also that

in the event of the Banks being wound up, the money must be paid to Government for carrying out the Santarpane. Ramaswamiengar, Krishniengar, Rangachar and Lakshmamma all died one after another, the last death having occurred about December 1906. This fact was brought to the notice of the local officers by one Lakshminarasimha Iyengar (a relation of Lakshmamma) who requested that the terms of the deceased Krishniengar's will about an annual Santarpane should be carried out by Government. While the local officers were enquiring into the matter, Venkatamma, widow of Rangachar petitioned to Government on 20th April 1907 urging that as Krishniengar had, subsequent to the execution of his will, operated on his account in the Vyaparavardhini Bank, the will was inoperative and that even if operative it could only be looked upon as a direction to the heirs of Krishniengar (viz. herself and her adopted son Ramaswamiengar, the present memorialist) to carry out the charity and could not justify an interference by Government. The Government Advocate who was consulted in the matter was of opinion that the Amildar of Seringapatam had, under the will, a right to demand and recover from the Banks the dividends due on the shares held by the testator and utilize the same for the annual

Santarpane' that in the event of the winding up of the Banks, the Amildar might demand and receive whatever might become payable on account of the shares out of the assets realized and invest the same in the Government Savings Bank, and that if the Bank failed to pay the dividends or the pro rata assets, the Amildar would have to obtain a succession certificate in respect of the said sum and institute proceedings for the recovery of the same. The Government accordingly observed, in their order No.3024/Muz.309-07-4 dated the 23rd June 1910, that there was at the time no necessity for an heirship certificate and directed the Amildar to collect the interest on the amounts in question and utilize the same for conducting Santarpane on the day specified in the will.

3. On 6th July 1910 Ramaswamiengar (the present memorialist), the adopted son of Venkatamma, prayed for a review of this order and he was informed in reply that there were no grounds for review.

4. The present memorial of Ramaswamiengar is for a reconsideration and cancellation of the orders already passed by Government.

5. The Deputy Commissioner has since reported that as the Banks refused to pay the amount until a succession certificate was produced, he had directed the

Amildar to obtain a succession certificate in respect of the sums in question and institute proceedings in Civil Courts for the recovery of the same, and solicited sanction to an advance being drawn by the Amildar from the temple funds for meeting the cost of litigation.

6. The Second Councillor is of opinion and I agree with him that the Muzrai Department should not go to Court to give effect to the testator's wishes and that the matter may be left to be decided by the Courts without our intervention.

27 Dec

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

3.1.11
1731

Subject.

Memorial of Ramaswamiengar praying for a re-consideration of the Government orders directing certain sums of money invested by his deceased uncle Krishnaiengar being utilized for conducting annual Santarpanes in Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam.

Order.

~~KRM~~
5/1/11

Seen

7/1/11

7/1/11

S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

20 | 120 (15

File No. of 190

Serial No.

49

8693
12.11.10

Submitted to Dewan
Ambabunnaya
16/11/1910

I agree with the 2nd Counsellor that the municipal debt should apply to court for the so called bequest in this case. The papers & the petition as called for the return

I shall allow Chinniah my return as 7/16/911

Ex 1 = 2nd [illegible] to 21 H — [illegible] Mercara — [illegible]

From, The Dy Commr, Mysore Distt
Letter no 298 Dy 4 1. 1910
Solicits the favor of authority
The Amildar to draw an advance of
Rs 50-8-0 for court costs &c in con-
nection with the properties left by
the late Krishnarajar

Submitted
16/11/11

Submitted to Legal Councillor

The Legal Councillor after receiving his opinion wanted me to ascertain what the state of affairs actually is. I wrote to the Amildar. He replied not clearly stating all matters to me I wanted to talk to him in person. I must therefore wait this day - before - yes-

R

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB-55,000—27-1-09

Serial No.

Friday Note below the State of appeals

The deceased Krishna Iyengar's brother's application having applied for an heirship certificate the Amildar opposed it The application of the adopted son was rejected. No order in favour was passed

The adopted sons now appeals The Amildar proposes to oppose in the appellate Court also

The Bank has refused to pay to the Amildar without an heirship certificate. So the Amildar has to put in a fresh application It is for this purpose that the advance is asked for Collector The Amildar did not at first know of the appeal.

~~If in the miscellaneous case~~ about heirship Parties in heirship certificate cases the Court enquires and the application of a hereby land does and establish a title without enquiring in favour of the opposing hereby That I suppose is why a fresh application is required

As Hon Highness the Maharaja has passed that we need not embark on this litigation I suppose we must withdraw the Dewan's petition to appear in the Appellate Court and file a fresh application for heirship certificate.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

some cost has been incurred till now; and this will perhaps have to be paid from the Zamindari funds

The Amildar told me that a similar case recently came to his notice and that he is enquiring on & that there may be some more also.

Abdulmajid

13/2/1911

The cost incurred till, I suppose Come from the other party if execution is taken out. It is ~~true~~ unnecessary to oppose the appeal. The Amildar may ~~give~~ probably find somebody interested in the will &c to take up the case. We may await further representation if (in case ~~it~~ our having already intervened) it is necessary to ~~do~~ go further.

17/2/11

(I rely may be sent that Govt do not approve of the work funds being drawn upon for such litigation)

NOTE – Contn sheet

GPB—50 000— 12 8 10

12]
eral and Revenue Secretariat.

MR File No. 309 of 1907

Serial No. 502

Disposition of Temple Committee Accounts

Draft approd 27/2/1911 by 2nd Councillor 22.2.11

MGS

27/2/1911

To, The Dy Commr, Mysore Dstt

Letter no 2281 Dy 15.3.1911

States that Govt do not approve of temple funds being drawn upon for the litigation in question

from, Ramaswamiengar, Srirangapatna

Petition Dy 25-11-1910 8-5-1911

Prays that the amounts willed by the late Krishnamurthy, may be ordered to be disbursed to them

Submitted with a Dft Endt stating that AV(132) 27.5.1911 orders have already been passed declining to grant his prayer & that those orders cannot now be changed

RL 27.5.11

Issue Dft 29/5/11

Endt. to Ramaswamiengar, Srirangapatna

No 31 S 1911

declining to grant his prayer and intimating that orders already passed cannot be changed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPS—50,000—12.8.10



81/4.07/Sl.No 13/3

Dc for transfer & submit-

6/5/07

13
/

13
2

Dated 13th August 1906. Sd/- K.V. Narasimhachariar
Sub Registrar N. G.

Sd/- K.V. Narasimhachariar
Sub Registrar N. G.

Mus I= 309-07-D 6

Muz. 3] Sl 2

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 367, Dis. 07-08

The accompanying ~~Petition~~ No. 4781

dated 20th April 1907 received at this

Office from Venkatamma, of

Srirangapatam complaining

that certain properties have

been taken up for the benefit

of the Sri Ranganathaswamy

temple at the place and praying

that they may be restored to her,

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commr

Mysore District, for favor

of enquiry and report-

By Order,

Dfd 24/6/07

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
[illegible] 19[illegible].

22/6/07 Mat to the Pet

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
2161
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

324

మైసూరు శ్రీమన్మహారాజుల పరిపాలన
మింత ముచరాయిలో మిక్కిలి పరిపూర్ణ
మైన అనంతశయనము రంగస్వామి వారిది
నాటి నగ—

శ్రీరంగపట్టణమందలి శ్రీరంగనాథస్వామి దేవస్థానమును
మైసూరు శ్రీమన్మహారాజులు పరిపాలన
చేయుచుండిరి. ఆ దేవస్థానమునకు
సంబంధించిన ఆదాయము మైసూరు
రంగస్వామి వారిది అనగా మైసూరు
రాజ్యమునకు చెందినది అని

శ్రీరంగనాథస్వామి దేవస్థానమునకు సంబంధించిన
సమస్త ఆదాయములు మైసూరు రాజ్యమునకు చెందవలెనని
యప్పటి రాజ్యాధికారులు మైసూరు మహారాజులను
బలవంతపెట్టిరి. అందుకు మహారాజులు
ఒప్పుకొనకపోవుటచే, ఆ రాజ్యాధికారులు
ఆ దేవస్థానమునకు సంబంధించిన ఆదాయమును
స్వాధీనపరచుకొనుటకు ప్రయత్నించిరి.
ఆ విషయమై మహారాజులు ప్రభుత్వమునకు
ఫిర్యాదు చేసుకొనగా, ప్రభుత్వమువారు
ఆ దేవస్థానమునకు సంబంధించిన ఆదాయమును
మైసూరు రాజ్యమునకు చెందదని నిర్ణయించి
ఆ విషయమును తెలియజేయుచు 1781 సం॥ నవంబరు 4
వ తేదీన ఒక ఫర్మానును మైసూరు మహారాజులకు
పంపిరి. ఆ ఫర్మానులో ఈ విధముగా వ్రాయబడియున్నది.
"మీ రాజ్యమునకు సంబంధించిన ఆదాయమును
మీరు అనుభవించుచున్నారు. అందులో
శ్రీరంగనాథస్వామి దేవస్థానమునకు సంబంధించిన
ఆదాయమును మీరు అనుభవించుచున్నారు.
ఆ ఆదాయమును మీరు అనుభవించుటకు
మేము అభ్యంతరపెట్టము. ఆ ఆదాయమును
మీరు అనుభవించుచుండుడు. ఆ ఆదాయమునకు
మేము ఎప్పుడును అడ్డు చెప్ప

Muz 1 = 309-07 — A

Muz. 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190 Sl 4

From

L ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq, B.A,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated
Despatched 9.1.08
Received

General No. 3431
Muzrai F No.

Enclosure No

In Continuation of this office No. 369 of 24th July 1907 has the honour to forward herewith petition Regd No. 274 dated 6th January 1908 from Venkatamma of Seringapatam praying that the properties belonging to her

SBP—3 000 copies 21-9 07

Which have been taken up for
the benefit of the Sri Ranganatha
Swamy Temple may be restored
to him.

The Deputy Comm^r is
requested to expedite the
submission of the report called
for ~~in the above cited Comm^n~~

By Order

25/11/08

Secretary to Govt
Mungrin Department

Sri Sh 25

Supdt to Dept

VIII Hor D 2046 SLS

29/4 In 490 Bg-Fo 309-07-3

From M. Kantaraj Urs Esqr: B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esqr: B.A
Offg: Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Genl: and Rev: Departts. Mysore
Branch

Dated
Despatched 27th April 1910
Received

General No. 483
No.

Enclosure No 1 file, one petn
book No: 674 and one petition

Submits a detailed
report re the properties bestowed
upon Krishna Iyer for Sentara-
-pune in the Srirangapatna Swami
temple at Srirangapatna

No. 483
Enc. No. 1 file, one pass book
No. 674 and one petition

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 27th April 1910.

To
C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.
Offg: SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Muzrai Branch.

Sir,

Adverting to your official memorandum No. 369 dated 24th July 1907 and docket No. 3434 dated 28th January 1908 forwarding for report petitions (herewith returned) from Venkatamma of Srirangapatam praying that her properties which have been taken up for the benefit of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Srirangapatam may be restored to her, I have the honor to state as follows:—

The properties referred to by the petitioner relate to the marginally noted amounts

*(1) Rs 507- with interest in the Town Bank at Srirangapatam
(2) Rs 87/- in Savings Bank account No. 674
(3) Amount due from G. V. Narasimha Iyengar, Pleader.

amounts and belonged to one Krishna Iyengar
who had two brothers Ramaswamiengar & Ranga
Char.

On 22nd November 1902, Krishnaiengar
executed a will which is duly registered
wherein he has desired that on his death
the half yearly interest accruing on his
self acquired property Viz Rs 500/- in the
Town Bank and Rs 500/- in the Vyapara-
-vardhini Bank at Srirangapatam ~
Should be enjoyed by his sister-in-law
Leakshamma (wife of Ramaswamiengar)
and on her death by Ranga Char and
after the death of Ranga Char the agents
of the respective Banks Should hand
it over to the Amildar for conducting

Santarpane

Santarpane to Sri Vaishnava brahmans ~~travelling~~ on Magha Shudha Purva-navami in the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple. He has further stated that Lakshamma and Ranga Char are entitled to get only the half yearly interest and not the principal.

Krishna Iyengar, Ramaswamiengar, his wife Lakshmamma and Ranga Char are all dead. Ranga Char's wife (Venkata-mma the petitioner) who had adopted a son states that her son is the proper heir to Krishna Iyengar's property and that she has a right to conduct the charity stipulated in the will

In reply to an enquiry from the Amildar of Shirangapatam, the town Bank has replied that the amount in the (Flag Y

the bank with interest may be obtained on production of an heir-ship certificate while the Vyapara Vardhini Bank has replied that after deducting the amounts due to the Bank by Krishna Iyengar the balance of Rs 87/- has been deposited in the Savings Bank in the name of Lakshmamma.

Pleader Mr. G.V. Narasimha Iyengar who had borrowed Rs 225/- from the deceased Krishna Iyengar on executing a Pro-note has replied that only Rs 75/- are due to Krishna Iyengar and this amount has nothing to do with the amount referred to in the will, as manageable by Government.

As Krishna Iyengar has explicitly stated in his will that the amounts

amounts mentioned in the will should be handed over to government for conducting the stipulated services on his, Lakshma-mma's and Ranga Char's death the amounts should be taken possession of by the Amildar if the will of the deceased has to be carried out. But this cannot be done until a heirship certificate is taken out from the Civil Court. I am of opinion that as there are heirs of the testator well qualified to administer the trust, we may defer our rights for the present and give an agreement to the petitioner not to assert as long as the properties are well utilised.

If the Government is not disposed to fall in with my opinion, I have to re-quest you to kindly get a bill of costs

for

for taking out an heirship certificate, sanctioned by Government in consultation with the Government Advocate.

The file of papers bearing on the subject received with the Sub Divisional Officer's yadast No. 268/801 dated 5th April 1910 and its enclosures together with a petition, since received, from Ramaswamier in which he questions the validity of the will are herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant

[signature]

for Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

G R S. 28.]

816

File No. 309-07
Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 2045

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, the 19

Date of issue 23rd June 1910.

Signed by Mr AS.

READ—

Petition dt 20 4 07 from Venkatamma of Seringapatam ~~praying~~ complaining that certain properties left by the late Krishnaiengar have been unauthorised by ~~been~~ taken up for the benefit of the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple.

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

2. Letter no 483 dt 27·4 10 from the DC, Mysore, reporting, ~~with records~~, on the subject.

Order thereon No. 3024

Venkatamma, whose husband's brother G. B. Krishna Iyengar has executed a will to the

over

SUBJECT.

effect that the interest on the money invested by him in the Town Bank and the Vyaparavardhini Bank at Srirangapatnam should, after the death of his brother's widow Lakshamma and of his brother Rangachar (husband of Venkatamma) be used for conducting Santarpane for Sri Vaishnavas in the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Srirangapatnam on Magha Suddha Pournami, condends (her husband and Lakshamma being dead)

(1) that Krishna Iyengar who prayed on his account in the Vyaparavardhini Bank should have meant that the will should be inoperative; and

(2) that even if operative it can only be looked upon as a direction to the heirs of Krishna Iyengar to carry out the charity ... and cannot justify any interference by Govt.

2. The Dy Comr says that the heirs

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

may be permitted to conduct his charities and adds that if his view should not be accepted his costs for taking out an heirship certificate may be sanctioned

3. The Govt Advocate who was consulted in the matter says that the Amildar of Seringapatam has, under the will, a right to demand and recover from the Bank the dividends due on the shares held by the testator and utilise the same for the annual Santharpane and that in the event of the winding up of the Bank the Amildar may demand and receive whatever may become payable on account of the shares out of the assets realised and invest the same in the Govt Savings Bank; and adds that if the Banks fail to pay the dividends or the pro-rata assets, the Amildar will have to obtain a succession certificate in respect of the said sums and institute proceedings in Civil Courts for the recovery of the same.

4. The records show that the Town Bank is willing to pay the interest to the Amildar on the Rs 500/- invested by

MGCPB—30,000—5-4 05

~~Krishnaiengar~~ Krishna Iyengar in shares of that bank and that out of ~~the~~ a similar sum invested in the Vyapara Vardhini Bank a portion has been set off against the money borrowed by Krishna Iyengar from the Bank and the balance amounting to Rs 8 7 invested in the Tijori Savings Bank in favour of Lakshamma. Thus there appears to be no necessity for an heirship certificate at present. The Amildar will collect the interest on these ~~amounts~~ amounts and ~~entrust~~ use the same for conducting Sauteranne on the day specified in the will.

(By order)
S
19/5/1900 Jr Sec I

To The Collr, Bpm &c
Bml & Jpt &

Confirmed
D Krishna Iyengar
21-5-00

Rc No 3025 2వ తేదీ 23-6-10.

Sd/

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

71

By order

Secretary

VII Kam 4/7 Sl 8

1910-11 D W

M.7 309-07

From

M. Kantaraj Urs Esqr. B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esqr. B.A
Offg. Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Genl. and Revs. Departts, Mulgan Branch

Dated

Despatched 2nd July 1910

Received

General No. 8
No.

Enclosure No —

Requests that Vernacular records relating to the certain properties left by the late Krishna Iyer for the benefit of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Srirangapatana

A.h.S
Put up these papers with draft. ret. them.
R.L 4.7.10

Draft Subd
11.7.10.

No. 8
Enc. No. —

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 2nd July 1910.

To
C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq. B. A.
offg: SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Mysore Branch

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 3024 Muz. F. 309-07 dated 23rd June 1910 passing orders, regarding certain properties left by the late Krishna Iyengar for the benefit of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Srirangapatam, I have the honor to request that the vernacular records submitted to you with this office letter No. 483 dated 27th April 1910 may be ordered to be returned to this office.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

B. A. R. Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Muz. 1]

190 23

From SL 9

1/7/10 3.20 pm

To The Deputy Comm
Impore District

Dated

Despatched 1/8 7 1910

Received

General No. 547

No. 5990 - 5

Enclosure No. 1 - 2

His No. 8 D/ 2nd July 1910

As requested in the above
I have the honor to
return herewith the Vessel
records received with his
Letter No. 483 D/ 27th April 1910
~~as requested~~.

BO

8/

14/7/1910

for Secy

RL

11.7.10

ad
10/7

G P L—3,000—19-9-07

No 610

~~Mus 1074-10~~
309-07

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

SC 10

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.....

The accompanying Petition Registered
D 80 & 14 and
No. D 121 dated 20.7.10
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Rajeengar and
others praying that the
Amildar of Srirangapatam
&c., may be directed to
defend the Suit filed
by Ramaswamieengar
for the recovery of the
properties of the late
Krishnieengar, intended for
the benefit of Sri Ranganatha
Swamy temple at Srirangapatam.
is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~,
to the Dy. Commr, Mysore
D's a in cantn of G.O
No. 3024 dy 23d June 1910

By Order,
[illegible]
-7/8/1910
for Secretary
1/8/10

BANGALORE,
19..

[P. T. O

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.. ..ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

. ...ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

....

....

....

... .

....

. ...

. .. ...

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ . .. .

ಮುಕ್ತಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

. ...

....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No..... .....

The accompanying Petition Registered

No..... .....dated.....

received at the General and Revenue

Secretariat from..... .....

.....

is forwarded, together with its enclosures,

to the ....

....

....for. ....

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,)

. ... 19...)

[P. T. O.

GPB—6,000—28-2-10

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

..... ಹೋಬಳಿ

..... ವಾಸದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

-VII Mon

Urgent 8/12

2874

D 549

1910-11

From M. F. 309-09

M. Kantaraj Urs Esqr. B.A.

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

To C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esqr. B.A.

Offg. Secretary to Govt.

Mysore Branch

Dated 23rd September 1910

Despatched

Received

General No. 145

No.

Enclosure No —

Requests that the Amildar of the Srirangapatana Taluk may be authorized to draw in advance Rs 50-8-0 for getting a certificate to collect a debt of Rs 587/- left by late G. B Krishna Iyengar

W.V

No. 145
Enc. No. —

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 23rd September 1910.

To
C. A. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B. A.
Offg: SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Muzrai Branch.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 3024 Muz: 7-309-07 dated 23rd June 1910 passing orders on certain properties left by the late Krishna Iyengar for the benefit of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Srirangapatam, I have the honor to state that the Banks having refused to pay the amount until a Succession certificate is produced, the Amildar of the Srirangapatam Taluk was directed to obtain a Succession certificate in respect of the sums in question and institute proceedings in Civil Courts for the recovery of the same.

The

The Sub Divisional officer, French-Rocks Division, reports in his yadast No. 65 dated 21st September 1910 that Rs 35-8-0 * are required for court costs to be by 26th September 1910. A sum of Rs 15/- is also required for Stamps for getting a certificate to collect a debt of Rs 587/- (Five hundred and eighty-seven) and interest due up to date.

* Vakalat 0-8-0
Lawyer's fee 25-0-0
Processes 10-0-0
Total 35-8-0

It is therefore requested that the Amildar of the Srirangapatam Taluk may be authorized to draw in advance Rs 50-8-0 subject to adjustment in due course.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant

For Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

No 1369/1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Bangalore 8th November 1940

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Department (Br: VII), Bangalore, for submission of papers in the case

No. 755 of 40-41

By Order,

I.C.S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

755

His Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar
Bahadur G.C.S.I.
Maharaja of Mysore

Call for papers Dec. 6/11

Mz 7.11.10

May it please Your Highness,

The humble memorial of ~

Ramaswamy Iyengar of Seringapatam, the adopted son of Rangachar, the late Parpatyegar of the Krishnaswami Temple, Mysore, respectfully Sheweth -

That the Memorialist's adopted father Rangachar had two brothers – the elder being Ramaswamy Iyengar, whose wife was Lakshamma, and the younger, Krishna Iyengar All these brothers had no issue and lived to the end in a single undivided family.

Ramaswami Iyengar (deceased)	Rangachar (deceased)	Krishna Iyengar (deceased)
wife Lakshamma (deceased)	Adopted son	Testator
no issue	Ramaswami Iyengar (Memorialist)	

2 The deceased Krishna Iyengar had invested a sum of Rs 500 in the Town Bank and another sum of Rs 500 in the ~ Vyapara Vardhani Bank of Seringapatam – the total Rs 1000 belonging to the family and not particularly to the said Krishna Iyengar. Owing to some displeasure, Krishna Iyengar secretly executed a will without the consent of his brother Rangachar or his sister-in-law Lakshamma (husband had died by this time) The will was to the effect that after the testator's death his sister-in-law and then after her death, his brother Rangachar were entitled to in

on the sums invested in the two banks; and that after the death of the two latter, that is, after the family becomes extinct, the interest should go to the Ranganathan temple for some charitable purpose specified in the will

3. In this connection the Memorialist's prayer is to note the following points -

(i) In the first place the will is not valid since it was not signed by the testator's brother and sister-in-law who were entitled each to a share in the amount as being members of an undivided family.

(ii) Secondly, the testator had no real intention of giving away the sum of Rs 1000 to the temple but simply executed the will for some purpose. This is borne out by the fact that the testator himself after executing the will withdrew before his death Rs 500 invested in the Vyaparavardhani Bank and utilised the amount for his own purpose. Now only Rs 500 remains in the Town Bank

(iii) Even supposing the validity of the will the Memorialist as being the heir to the family is entitled to carry out the clauses of the will and not the Muzrai Dept

(iv) In some cases Govt have deemed it proper that charitable works shoud be conducted by the donor's successors and so left the management to them.

(v) ~~Lastly~~ Steps are now being taken by the Muzrai Secretary to ~~confiscate~~ take it forcibly for the sake of the temple two other small sums invested elsewhere but not specified in the will.

(vi) Lastly if the memorialist had been adopted to the family in the life time of the testator, the latter would not have executed the will

The Memorialist's ancestors had all of them served in some temple or other under Your Highness' blessed govt. but now he was not given any employment and so made an heir to poverty and destitution. His whole hope of future livelihood depend on Rs 500 deposited in the bank. But even this is about to be wrenched from his unwilling hand and to be given away to the temple by the Muzrai Secretary who has issued orders for the ~~confiscation~~ acquisition of the said amount for the temple. All the complaints and entreaties of the memorialist were not heeded to by the Muzrai Secretary who endorsed to the effect that the order would on no account be altered.

5. Under the above circumstances the memorialist respectfully begs Your Highness to reconsider the matter and to cancel the prevous order, so that the memorialist may acquire the said Rs 500 which is the sole support for his life and without which he would be driven to beggary.

Your Highness'

Most humble and obedient.

M R. Ramaswamy

5.11.1910

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ಶ್ರೀಕೃಷ್ಣ
ರಾಜ ಒಡೆಯರವರ್ ಜಿ. ಸಿ. ಬಿಸ್. ಐ. ಬಿಡಿದಪ್ಪಲ್ಗಾಲಿ.
ರವರ ದಿವ್ಯ ಮಹಾಸಮಸ್ಥಾನಗಳನ್ನು.

ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಶ್ರೀ ವೈಷ್ಣವ ಸ್ವಾಮಿದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಂ ಲೇಟ್ ಸುರಪತ್ತೇಗಾರ್ ತಂಗಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಪುತ್ರ ರಾಮಸ್ವಾಮ್ಯಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ಯರು ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಾನಾಪನಾಧ್ಯಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರಾಂಶವಿಷ್ಟು.

ನನ್ನ ಸ್ವೀಕೃತ ತಂದೆ ಪಾರುಪತ್ಯಗಾರ ಕರ್ಮಾಚಾರಿ ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಲಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಹಂಚಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಮೇರೆ ಇವರ ಹಿರಿಯಣ್ಣ ರಾಮಸ್ವಾಮಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ ಕಿರಿಯ ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಪುತ್ರ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ಯರು ಈ ಮೇರೆ ನೂರಾರು ಜನರ ಇದ್ದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು. ಇವರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟಾಗಲಿ ಬಿಡುವಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಮೂರುಜನವೂ ಒಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಇದ್ದರು. ಆ ಮೂರೂ ಸಂಸಾರಕ್ಕೂ ಒಂದು ಬೆಂಕಿ ಬೆಳಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮೊದಲು ಹಿರಿಯಣ್ಣನವರಾದ ರಾಮಸ್ವಾಮಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರು ಪತ್ನಿ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮನವರು ಜೀವಂತರಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದರು. 2 ನೆಯವರು ನನ್ನ ಸ್ವೀಕೃತ [illegible] ಇವರೂ ಪತ್ನಿ-ಇವರ ಸಂಸಾರ ನನ್ನ ಸ್ವಂತಕ್ಕೆ ತಾಯಿ ಸಂಕಲ್ಪಿಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮನವರು ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ. [illegible] ಇರುವರಿಲ್ಲ.

[illegible] ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದ [illegible] ರಾಜರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಪೇರುಗಳು, ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ [illegible] ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಇವರು ಸ್ವತಃ ಬಾಲ್ಯದಿಂದ [illegible] ಕಾರಣದ ಮೇರೆ [illegible] ಇರುವ [illegible] ಮೇಲ್ಪಂಕ್ತಿ [illegible] ತರಿಸಿಗೆ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು. [illegible] ಇವರುಗಳಿಗೆ [illegible] ಇವರುಗಳಿಗೆ [illegible] ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದರು. [illegible] ಇವರುಗಳಿಗೆ [illegible] ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದರು.

ದಿಲ್ಲ. ವಿಲ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡಂತೆ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿರುವದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವರು ಇರುವಾಗ್ಯೆನೇ ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪ್ಪರವ್ಯಾಪಾರವರ್ಧಿನೀ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕಿನ ಷೇರುಗಳ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮೊಬಲಗು ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡುಬಿಟ್ಟರು. ನಂತರ ಈ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕು ಅಬಾಲಿಷ್‌ಆಗಿ ಹೋಗಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಟರ್ನ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕಿನ ಷೇರು 500 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಮುಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರು ಇರುತ್ತೆ. ಸದರಿ ವಿಲ್ ನಪಮಾತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಬರದ್ದೇ ಹೊರ್ತು ಅವರು ಜೀವಂತರಾಗಿ ಇರುವಾಗ್ಯೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಆಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮನೇ ಆಗಲಿ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕೃತ ತಂದೆಯೇ ಆಗಲಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ವಿಲ್ ಬರದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಸ್ವೀಕೃತ ತಂದೆ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕಾರಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃಷ್ಣಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರು ತೀರಿದು ಇದ್ದರೆ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಇವರು ವಿಲ್ ಬರಿಯುತ್ತಾ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ನನ್ನ ಸ್ವೀಕಾರಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ರಂಗಾಚಾರ್ರು ಸಗರಿಯವರ ಕುಟುಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಸ್ಥಾವರಜಂಗಮ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಅನುಭವಿಸಬೇಕಂದು ವಿಲ್ ಬರದು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಆನಂತರ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಮೊಬಲಿಗಿನ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ನಾನು ನಮೂದ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಾಗ್ಲೂ ನಾನು ಅನುಭವಿಸಿ ನನ್ನ ಹಿರಿಯರು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಂದು ಯೋಚಿಸಿದ ಧರ್ಮವನ್ನು ತಕ್ಕಮಟ್ಟಿಗೂ ನಾನು ಕಾಪಾಡಲೂ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರುವಾಗ್ಯೆ ಹಾಲಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೆಕ್ರಟರಿ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ನನ್ನ ಅಹವಾಲುಗಳು ಯಾವದೂ ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡದೇನೆ ಫಾರ್ಫಿಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೃಷ್ಣಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರ ವಿಲ್ ಸಂತೆ ಸದರಿ ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವರ ಸಂತರ್ಪಣೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಉಳಿಯುವ ಗಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿಯೂ ಗಾರ್ಡ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ ಹುಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ಆರ್ಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಫುಲ್‌ಫಿಲ್ ಮಾಡಲು ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣವೂ ಕಾಣಬರುವದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನನ್ನ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಗಾರ್ಡ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ ನಜಾಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಆದು 16-3-1910 ನೇ ನುಕ್ಕಂ 731 ನೇ ನೆಂಬರು ಇಲಾರ್ಡ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಮಹಾಪ್ರಭುವೇ-ಅನಾಥರಕ್ಷಕರಾಗಿಯೂ ಬಹುಜನಗ್ಗಳ ಯೆಮಾರಿ ವಿಚಾರಿಸದಕ್ಕವರು ಗಿದಯೂ, ನ್ಯಾಯಪರೀಲರಾಗಿಯೂ, ದೀನರಿಗೆ ಸಮಾನರಾಗಿಯೂ ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಭುಗಳ ಮಹಾದಯನ್ನಿಧ್ಯಂಗಳನ್ನಿ ಬಿಡದ ಅರ್ಜೀಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬೇಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದೇನಂದರೆ :-

ಹಿಂದೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಪಸಿಟ್ಟು ಮತ್ತು ಭತ್ತ ಬ್ರಾವಜ್ಞಿಸಿದ ಧರ್ಮ ನನ್ನ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯಾಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದದ್ದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ನೆಡಿಸಲು ಕಾರಣವಿಲ್ಲ ; ಅನಂತರ ವಾರಸುದಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಂದು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಹುಟ್ಟಿ ಎರಡು ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷ ಮಾತ್ರ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತೆಂದು ವರ್ತಮಾನ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತೆನೆ.

ಹೀಗಿರುವಾಗ್ಯೆ ವಾರಸುದಾರ ಇರುವಾಗ್ಯೆ ವಾರಸುದಾರನಿಗೆ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ಸಿಗತ್ತ ಮೊಬಲಗು 500 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಇವರ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ನಮೂದಾಗ್ದು ನಾಳಿ ಬಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕಟ್ಟಿ ಆಗಿದ್ದದ್ದನ್ನು ತೆಸ್ಪಿಸಿ ನನ್ನ ತರಫಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಗುಮಾವನೊಂದು ತನಿಖೆಯೂ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದೇನೆ ನೀವು ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಗಾರ್ಡ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ ಹುಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ಆರ್ಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಫುಲ್‌ಫಿಲ್ [illegible]

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಂಡ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಅವಲಂಬಿಸಿ ಚಿತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಅನಾಥನ
ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಮೊಬಲಗು ನಾನುಬರಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲೂ, ಮಾಲಿ ನನಗೆ ಬಿಡಿ
ಬರಿಸುವ ಗಾರ್ಡ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸತಕ್ಕ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲೂ ಮುಂದಾಕ್ಷೇಪಗಳಿ
ಲ್ಲವೆಂಬ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕಾಸರಗೋಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಮೀಷನರ್
ಅವರಿಂದ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವಂತೆ ಅನಾಥನಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆವು.

೬ ನಮಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅನಾಥನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಯಾವ ವಕೀಲರೂ
ಕಾಗದಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಹಾಕಬಾರದೆಂದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆವು.

೭ ನಮಗೆ ಸಾರದೆ ಅನಾಥನು ಅನ್ಯರೊಡನೆ ಕಾಲಾಪ ಮಾಡಬಾರದೆಂದು, ಹಾಗೆ
ಮಾಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅನಾಥನಿಗೆ
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆವು.

೮ ಅನಾಥನ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪದ್ಮಾವತಿಯಮ್ಮನವರು ಮೊದಲಾದ
ವರಿಗೆ ಅನಾಥನನ್ನು ಭೇಟಿಮಾಡಲು ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು.

೯ ಅನಾಥನ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸರಿಯಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೂ ಅವನ ಮಾನಸಿಕ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಸುಧಾರಣೆಯಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೂ ಅವನನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ದಿವಸಗಳ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆಗೆ
ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ಇಡುವುದು ಅವಶ್ಯವೆಂದು ಅನಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು
ಹೋಗಲು ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು.

೧೦ ಅನಾಥನ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪದ್ಮಾವತಿಯಮ್ಮನವರು ಮೊದಲಾದ
ವರಿಗೆ ಅನಾಥನನ್ನು ಭೇಟಿಮಾಡಲು ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು.

೧೧ ಅನಾಥನ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸರಿಯಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೂ ಅವನ ಮಾನಸಿಕ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಸುಧಾರಣೆಯಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೂ ಅವನನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ದಿವಸಗಳ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆಗೆ
ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ಇಡುವುದು ಅವಶ್ಯವೆಂದು ಅನಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು
ಹೋಗಲು ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಈಗ ಹುಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ಆರಿಡರ್ ದಿದ್ದುಮಾಡಿ ನಾವಿಸುವುದಾಗಿ ಸಂತೆಗೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟ ಜುಜುಮಿ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ನಾನು ಬರಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕ ಚಿಂತಿಗಳನ್ನು ವಯಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಮುಖಾಸಾನ್ನಿಧ್ಯದಿಂದ ಹೊಕುಂ ಮಯಪಾಲಿಸಿ ಆಗಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಾತಿಯೂ ಬಡಿಯತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾಗಿ



ಯಂ. ಆರ್. ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್

ಕಾರಿಯಮ ಕಛೇರಿಯಂ ಚೆ 1910

No 789 తి 9 ఆ. 8/11/36

Mus 7-10-2

వల్లకుంటలు శ్రీమద్ధ్వమధ్వాంబరవర
కొండవీడుభువనరక్షణశక్తి శీఘ్రములు
లంఘించి లిఖితములు వరకీర్తిపోరిలుండా

మలుబరంలు

శ్రీరంగకట్టదళ్ళరులవిండ్రపక్కోకా
కే రంగాంబోర్రదశక్తివగరంవలస్తాంవ్లలిపం
కార్తిక.

ప్రవశివట్టశ్రీళ్ళిలుంకార్రవిలో నల్లి
నమూదిరులవల్లే అవరభంబళిశీల
వ్రమాంబలక్ష్మి శ్రీరంగాంధ్రక్షిత్రములువర
దేవనాథినదళ్ళశ్రీవ్రీళ్ళివరకుంచవద్దిపో
బవ్వేలుకీలిగిళిశక్తిశరభీంగి కొండవీడు
అనిందప్రజ్ఞరకక్షి అతిథరన్నవ్రినమ్మిల
శీఘ్రమంతభక్తింగి వళ్ళిసి నీవ్రభాంపర్రి
తిక్కిన్నంతని పెంనినంబరీకవ్ర అభీలు
న్నైనోర్తలంలుశీలు

ఈదరిఅతిథరన్నబదలంలుకీలు
యుంవరింరద్రాపుశీంతలూరులనదిల్లు
మాని నిన్న శీవ్ర అభీలు కొండవీడుంటి
నిందవచివాడల్లపట్టరులశ్రీ-శీళ్ళలువడ.

By order,

Ambalavanaya

For Hy. Secretary

తా 16.9.10కి
పంపబడినది

CKలు.



D. 867/37

ఉత్తరం

తేదీ 11-11-1910

యం. తో. రాజేశ్వర్ వి యిస్తోంది

No 1547
1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
Bangalore, 29-11-1910.

D 990

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Department (Br: VII), Bangalore, ~~for~~ in continuation of this Office No. 1369 dated 8-11-10.

No. 8568 10-11.

By Order,

I.C.S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

D 990 40

OFFICIAL OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL

మహాప్రభువే యింతాకూల

మురారియిష్టం తక్కండరుణాలపోకూడ

వలం తగిలిందనరమూతిస్తాం దుర్ధర్షణ

నేలేకొందరు-ఇలా రూలనగల్లుఅధికార

లలో దుర్వినియోగం.

ఆ ధనాయితరులూ. వేగతనళ్ళకి

యోగాధరులుఅధికారవిరుద్ధంయ్యా?

ఆ ధనాళిలు వందరులపడుకోలా

స్తే మోవలూ ఆసిరుద్ధంయి?

ఆధనాఅపరనాధపదారుకోట్లే-

సొంవలసూ ఆసిరుద్ధంయ్యా.

ఆ ధనానర్హతలేందరకోట్లనగాం

సొంవలసూ

యీ సాగనళ్ళల్లో-మానందరు-

ధనాధనూతిబుద్ధగగ్గనాకాతచేసాతి

పై ధీరస్తుత్యగోమహాధికారిమి—

యీఅవినీత్యేమడుగాబరాబా

గాదేవతాప్రతిష్ఠాంచిపోతియుగంధంలో

రచర-ప్రయత్నంలసతరశతియువరాజ-

శ్రీమత్ఆర్-దిజ్-రాజాంబలవి-సి-యస్ మహరాజ

యూళ్ళపాడుదేవం తిరుపాంతరు త్రిశేవుడాస్థాని

రాజకీయసంబంధ్ధ ంచేస్తానని—

యం. ఆర్. రామకృష్ణమ

VII
9/1

1910-11 Sl 17

From

Mr. Kantaraj Urs ..... Esq., B.A

Deputy Commissioner,

D 1205 Mysore District.

Mour F 309-07

C. S. Balasundaram Pyy Esq., B.A

Secy. to Govt. of Mysore,

Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Muzrai Branch

Dated

Despatched 7th January 1911

Received

General No. 298

No.

Enclosure No file

Solicits the favor of early orders in the matter of the Amildar drawing in advance the Sum of Rs 50-8-0 for court costs to be in connection with the properties left by late Krishna Iyengar

11/ Circumstance noted & submit file can come up for orders on 13th instant

9-1-11

GBPM—21 7-1910—1,000

No. 298
Enc. No. 1 file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore Camp 7th January 1911.

FROM

M. Kantaraj Urs Esq., B.A.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A
offg: SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Inzrai Branch

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No. 145 dated 23rd September 1910 requesting that the Amildar of the Srirangapatam Taluk may be authorized to draw in advance Rs 50-8-0 for court costs &c in connection with the properties left by the late Krishna Iyengar for the benefit of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Srirangapatam, I have the honor to submit herewith Sub divisional officer's yadast No. 148/466 dated 25/29th December 1910 stating that as the appeal has been posted for hearing to 26th January 1911 the amount may be

be paid to the Amildar for obtaining necessary copies &c and to solicit the favor of early orders in the matter of the Amildar drawing in advance the Sum of Rs 50-8-0.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant

S R Scott Carnac

for Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

File No.

Sl 18 Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. 2281 Emp/plc
Mun 309-07

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 190

To Dj 15-3 1911.

The Dy Commr,
Mysore Dist

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 145 dated 28th Septr 1910 praying for an advance of Rs 50-8-0 for litigation connected with the bequest of some money by one G B Krishnaiengar to the Sri Ranganathaswamy Temple at Srirangapatam, I am directed to state that Govt do not approve ~~of~~ of Temple funds being drawn upon for such litigation.

I have etc

Date of issue _____

Signed by _____

I the encls to your minute no 298 dated 7th May 1911 are herewith returned

Sd/- 3/11/1911
for offg. Secretary

over.

SUBJECT.

22/2/11

Copy sent by Krishnamurthy 3-3-11. compd
AJ 7-3 1911



List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-08

No 3076 తేది 31/5/11.
mun 309-07

మీ పత్రం ద్వారా తెలియజేయునది ఏమనగా
రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వ ఉద్యోగులకు ఇటీవల ప్రకటించిన
కరువు భత్యం

ఈ కరువు భత్యం పదవీ విరమణ
పొందిన ఉద్యోగులకు కూడా వర్తిస్తుందా
కాదా తెలుపగలరు

ఈ విషయమై పదవీ విరమణ పొందిన ఉద్యోగులు
వివిధ రకాల ఆరోగ్య సమస్యలతో
బాధపడుతూ మందులు వాడుతున్నారు
ఈ మందుల ధరలు రోజురోజుకు పెరిగిపోతున్నాయి
కనుక ఈ కరువు భత్యం పదవీ విరమణ
పొందిన ఉద్యోగులకు కూడా వర్తింపజేసి
వారికి ఆర్థిక సహాయం అందించగలరని
మనవి చేస్తున్నాము

ఇట్లు
ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ పదవీ విరమణ